SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, AUGUST 12, 2004 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S114811

B151460 Second Appellate District,

Division Four

REEVES v. HANLON

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

Opinion by Baxter, J.

--- joined by George, C. J., Kennard, Werdegar, Chin, Brown, Moreno, JJ.

S122923 S122865 LOCKYER v. CITY & CNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO LEWIS v. ALFARO, AS COUNTY CLERK, ETC. Opinion filed: Let a peremptory writ of mandate issue

Opinion by George, C.J.

--- joined by Baxter, Chin, Brown, Moreno, JJ.

Concurring Opinion by Moreno, J. Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by

Kennard, J.

Concurring and Dissenting Opinion

by Werdegar, J.

S125828

H027498 Sixth Appellate District

WALKER (MICHAEL ANDREW) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to September 21, 2004

S125869

A106769 First Appellate District,

Division One

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA COUNCIL OF THE

BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA v. S.C. (ROE)

Time extended to grant or deny review

to September 23, 2004

S095223

PEOPLE v. BLOOM (ROBERT MAURICE)

Extension of time granted

to October 15, 2004 to file appellant's opening

brief.

S118561

A093424 First Appellate District,

A093649 Division Three

KINSMAN v. UNOCAL CORPORATION

Extension of time granted

respondent to serve and file the consolidated

answer to amici briefs and request for judicial notice to September 30, 2004.

S118779

GARCIA (FRANK LASARO) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to September 1, 2004 for petitioner to file the reply to the informal response.

S121159

C041818 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. RABADUEX

Extension of time granted

to September 1, 2004 for respondent to file the answer brief on the merits.

S123238

G030681 Fourth Appellate District, G030825 Division Three MW ERECTORS v. NIEDERHAUSER

ORNAMENTAL & METAL WORKS CO., INC.

Extension of time granted

to September 19, 2004 for appellant to file the answer brief on the merits in excess of 14,000 words.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S126621

A101124 First Appellate District, Division Five POPEJOY v. JACK IN THE BOX

Extension of time granted

Respondent's time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to and including August 23, 2004.

S126780

C047231 Third Appellate District

CALIFORNIANS FOR AN OPEN PRIMARY v. SHELLEY (STATE LEGISLATURE)

Order filed

For purposes of briefing and oral argument before this court, real party in interest the Legislature of the State of California shall be designated petitioner in this proceeding, and Californians for an Open Primary et al. shall be designated the opposing party. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.1(a)(6).)